

## Course feedback by students 2020-2021 of 2020-2021 - Faculty of Sciences

Integrated International Projectwork (C004173), 8 credits - Year

Teacher: Veerle Van Eetvelde - lecturer-in-charge (801001063926)

Programs: Master of Geography and Geomatics

Participation: 7 of 15 students participated, 46.67% (inadmissible students: 8)

A sufficient number of respondents participated in this survey.

### LEARNING EFFECT

1. The project is an added value to your programme.

strongly disagree	disagree	neither agree nor disagree	agree	strongly agree	GA
0.0 %	0.0 %	16.7 %	33.3 %	50.0 %	# 1

N	avg	StdDev	CI	SE
6	4.33	0.82	0.653	0.333

2. You integrated knowledge and skills from different courses.

strongly disagree	disagree	neither agree nor disagree	agree	strongly agree	GA
0.0 %	0.0 %	14.3 %	42.9 %	42.9 %	# 0

N	avg	StdDev	CI	SE
6	4.33	0.82	0.653	0.333

### COACHING

1. The supervisor(s) provided sufficient input concerning the content.

strongly disagree	disagree	neither agree nor disagree	agree	strongly agree	GA
0.0 %	14.3 %	14.3 %	28.6 %	42.9 %	# 0

N	avg	StdDev	CI	SE
7	4.07	0.84	0.621	0.317

2. The supervisor(s) provided feedback on your questions and texts within a reasonable time.

strongly disagree	disagree	neither agree nor disagree	agree	strongly agree	GA
0.0 %	0.0 %	14.3 %	57.1 %	28.6 %	# 0

N	avg	StdDev	CI	SE
7	4.14	0.69	0.512	0.261

### ORGANISATION

1. Group work was well organized by the lecturer in charge.

strongly disagree	disagree	neither agree nor disagree	agree	strongly agree	GA
0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	57.1 %	42.9 %	# 0

N	avg	StdDev	CI	SE
7	4.21	0.57	0.419	0.214

2. There were sufficient practical guidelines.

strongly disagree	disagree	neither agree nor disagree	agree	strongly agree	GA
0.0 %	0.0 %	28.6 %	42.9 %	28.6 %	# 0

N	avg	StdDev	CI	SE
7	4.00	0.82	0.604	0.308

EVALUATION

1. The evaluation methods for the project were fair and appropriate.

strongly disagree	disagree	neither agree nor disagree	agree	strongly agree	GA
0.0 %	25.0 %	0.0 %	25.0 %	50.0 %	# 3

N	avg	StdDev	CI	SE
3	4.83	0.29	0.327	0.167

N	avg	StdDev	CI	SE
4	4.00	1.41	1.386	0.707

2. The evaluation criteria and aims of the project were clearly worded.

strongly disagree	disagree	neither agree nor disagree	agree	strongly agree	GA
0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	100.0 %	# 4

N	avg	StdDev	CI	SE
3	5.00	0.00	0.000	0.000

ACTIVE LEARNING

1. The course requires students to actively engage with the material

strongly disagree	disagree	neither agree nor disagree	agree	strongly agree	GA
0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	100.0 %	# 1

N	avg	StdDev	CI	SE
6	4.67	0.41	0.327	0.167

N	avg	StdDev	CI	SE
6	5.00	0.00	0.000	0.000

2. The course stimulates learning activities throughout the semester

strongly disagree	disagree	neither agree nor disagree	agree	strongly agree	GA
0.0 %	14.3 %	14.3 %	28.6 %	42.9 %	# 0

N	avg	StdDev	CI	SE
7	4.00	1.16	0.857	0.437

GENERAL

1. Subject workload, compared to credits was:

very light	light	medium	heavy	very heavy	GA
0.0 %	0.0 %	66.7 %	16.7 %	16.7 %	# 1


N
6

2. What is your overall opinion on the way the project is dealt with?

very dissatisfied	dissatisfied	average	satisfied	very satisfied	GA
0.0 %	14.3 %	14.3 %	42.9 %	28.6 %	# 0

N	avg	StdDev	CI	SE
7	3.86	1.07	0.792	0.404

Score per dimension



	Learning effect	Coaching	Organisation	Evaluation	Active learning
Your score	4.333	4.071	4.214	4.833	4.667
CI	0.653	0.621	0.419	0.327	0.327

Open questions

EVALUATION

Question 3: Use the text box below to add any comments or suggestions about evaluation.

1) *Nog niet beoordeeld*

Graphical presentation

Legend

very light	light	medium	heavy	very heavy
strongly disagree	disagree	neither agree nor disagree	agree	strongly agree
very dissatisfied	dissatisfied	average	satisfied	very satisfied

LEARNING EFFECT

1

The project is an added value to your programme.

strongly disagree

strongly agree

2

You integrated knowledge and skills from different courses.

strongly disagree

strongly agree

COACHING

1	The supervisor(s) provided sufficient input concerning the content.	strongly disagree	strongly agree
2	The supervisor(s) provided feedback on your questions and texts within a reasonable time.	strongly disagree	strongly agree
ORGANISATION			
1	Group work was well organized by the lecturer in charge.	strongly disagree	strongly agree
2	There were sufficient practical guidelines.	strongly disagree	strongly agree
EVALUATION			
1	The evaluation methods for the project were fair and appropriate.	strongly disagree	strongly agree
2	The evaluation criteria and aims of the project were clearly worded.	strongly disagree	strongly agree
ACTIVE LEARNING			
1	The course requires students to actively engage with the material	strongly disagree	strongly agree
2	The course stimulates learning activities throughout the semester	strongly disagree	strongly agree
GENERAL			
1	Subject workload, compared to credits was:	very light	very heavy
2	What is your overall opinion on the way the project is dealt with?	very dissatisfied	very satisfied

## Teacher's reaction

The teacher didn't react.

